

**Determine the parameter B_K of the $K^0 - \overline{K}^0$ system
by means of the precise Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix elements**

Yong Liu^{1,2}, Jing-Ling Chen² and Wu-Sheng Dai³

**1. Lab of Numerical Study for Heliospheric Physics
Chinese Academy of Sciences, P. O. Box 8701, Beijing 100080, P.R.China**

**2. Theoretical Physics Division
Nankai Institute of Mathematics
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R.China**

**3. Department of Physics
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R.China**

Abstract

Taking use of the relation between weak CP phase and the other three mixing angles in Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix postulated by us before, the uncertainty coming from the weak interaction can be reduced, furthermore, by means of the relation between the calculation result about mixing parameter ϵ from the box diagrams and the related experimental data in $K^0 - \overline{K}^0$ system, the parameter B_K can be extracted. We take V_{ud} , V_{ub} and V_{tb} as inputs, when we let them vary in the ranges $0.9745 \leq V_{ud} \leq 0.9760$, $0.0018 \leq V_{ub} \leq 0.0045$ and $0.9991 \leq V_{tb} \leq 0.9993$, we find the permitted window for B_K is $0.444 \leq B_K \leq 1.242$. With the more precise measurement on the CKM matrix elements in the future, we can determine B_K more precisely.

PACS number(s): 11.30.Er, 12.10.Ck, 12.39.Fe

Email address: yongliu@ns.lhp.ac.cn

Determine the parameter B_K of the $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ system by means of the precise Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix elements

The heavy flavor physics has aroused a great interests in recent years [1-4]. Through the study of heavy flavor physics, we can extract much useful information. On one hand, the weak decays of heavy flavours can provide a direct way to determine the weak mixing angles and to test the unitarity of the standard Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix [5-6]. On the other hand, study on the semileptonic and nonleptonic decays of heavy mesons can tell us some information about non-perturbative QCD and its long range character. But unfortunately, these two tasks are entangled. When we want to determine the weak mixing angles and the CP violation phase precisely, we must know how to reliable evaluate hadronic matrix elements. On the contrary, if we can reduce some uncertainty coming from the weak interaction, we will be able to determine the relevant parameters of hadronic matrix element more precisely.

As a example, we consider the $K_0 - \bar{K}_0$ system in this work. Within some approximations, the CP violation parameter ϵ can be calculated [7-12]

$$|\epsilon| \simeq \frac{G_F^2 m_K f_K^2 B_K M_W^2}{\sqrt{2}(12\pi^2)\Delta m_K} [\eta_1 S(x_c) I_{cc} + \eta_2 S(x_t) I_{tt} + 2\eta_3 S(x_c, x_t) I_{ct}] \quad (1)$$

where G_F is the Fermi constant, $\eta_1 = 1.38, \eta_2 = 0.57, \eta_3 = 0.47$ are QCD corrections [8], $I_{ij} \equiv \text{Im}(V_{id}^* V_{is} V_{jd}^* V_{js})$, $x_i = m_i^2/M_W^2$ and

$$S(x) \equiv \frac{x}{4} \left[1 + \frac{3-9x}{(x-1)^2} + \frac{6x^2 \ln(x)}{(x-1)^2} \right] \quad (2)$$

$$S(x, y) \equiv xy \left\{ \frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{2(1-y)} - \frac{3}{4(1-y)^2} \right\} \frac{\ln(y)}{y-x} + (y \leftrightarrow x) - \frac{3}{4(1-x)(1-y)}. \quad (3)$$

The experimental values of the relevant parameters are [9,13]

$$|\epsilon| = (2.28 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-3} \quad f_K = 160 \text{ MeV} \quad \Delta m_K = 3.49 \times 10^{-15} \text{ GeV}$$

$$m_K = 0.4977 \text{ GeV} \quad m_t = 175 \pm 6 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \quad M_W = 80.34 \pm 0.10 \text{ GeV}/c^2.$$

The quantity B_K should be in principle renormalization scale independent, its value obtained by various groups with different methods is listed in Table 1 [14-27].

From Table 1, we find that the value of B_K is very undefined. In fact, because we are in ignorance of the low-energy QCD, we can not determine it precisely. However, if we can understand the weak interaction very well, through the study on the heavy flavor physics,

Table 1: B_K value obtain by various groups with different methods

B_K	Method	Ref.
3/4	leading $1/N_c$	[16]
0.37	lowest-order chiral perturbation theory	[17]
0.70 ± 0.10	next-to-leading $1/N_c$ estimate	[18]
0.4 ± 0.2	next-to-leading $1/N_c$ estimate, $o(p^2)$	[19]
0.42 ± 0.06	$o(p^4)$ chiral perturbation theory	[20]
0.60-0.80	NJL model with spin-1 interactions	[21]
0.39 ± 0.10	QCD-hadronic duality	[22,23]
$0.5 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.2$	QCD sum rules (3-point functions)	[24]
0.55 ± 0.25	QCD sum rules (3-point functions)	[25]
0.58 ± 0.22	Laplace sum rule	[26]
$0.90 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.14$	lattice	[27]

conversely, we can determine the relevant parameters of the strong interaction such as B_K more precisely.

The central purpose of this work is to determine B_K by use of the experimental results on $K_0 - \overline{K}_0$ system and the CKM matrix with the uncertainty coming from the weak CP phase δ being reduced.

In Ref.[28], we find that the weak CP phase is related to the other three mixing angles, the relation can be described by

$$\sin \delta_{13} = \frac{(1 + s_{12} + s_{23} + s_{13})\sqrt{1 - s_{12}^2 - s_{23}^2 - s_{13}^2 + 2s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}}}{(1 + s_{12})(1 + s_{23})(1 + s_{13})} \quad (4)$$

where s_{ij} and δ_{13} are the parameters in the standard parametrization [11-12]

$$V_{KM} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{13}} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

with $c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}$ and $s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}$ for the "generation" labels $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. Here, the real angles θ_{12}, θ_{23} and θ_{13} can all be made to lie in the first quadrant. The phase δ_{13} lies in the range $0 < \delta_{13} < 2\pi$. In following, we will make the three angles θ_{ij} lie in the first quadrant.

According to Eq.(4), the weak CP phase is fully determined by the three mixing angles. Hence, the uncertainty coming from the weak interaction is reduced. In following, we begin to determine B_K with this result is used. The program is

1. From three CKM matrix elements which are measured precisely, we calculate the three mixing angles.

2. Based on Eq.(4), the weak CP phase δ_{13} can be solved. Hereon, we can determine all the CKM matrix elements, and then, we can calculate $I_{ij}(i, j = c, t)$.

3. According to Eq.(1) and the relevant experimental results on $K_0 - \overline{K}_0$ system, we determine $B_K f_K^2$ or B_K .

The other parameters used in this work are

$$G_F = 1.166392 \times 10^{-5} GeV^{-2} \quad m_c = 1.5 GeV$$

We take V_{ud} , V_{ub} and V_{tb} as inputs, When we let them vary in the ranges [13]

$$0.9745 \leq V_{ud} \leq 0.9760 \quad 0.0018 \leq V_{ub} \leq 0.0045 \quad 0.9991 \leq V_{tb} \leq 0.9993 \quad (6)$$

We find a range for B_K as following

$$0.444 \leq B_K \leq 1.242 \quad (7)$$

However, if we let V_{ud} , V_{ub} and V_{tb} vary in more narrow ranges, such as

$$0.9749 \leq V_{ud} \leq 0.9756 \quad 0.0025 \leq V_{ub} \leq 0.0038 \quad 0.9991 \leq V_{tb} \leq 0.9993 \quad (8)$$

then, we find a range for B_K as

$$0.520 \leq B_K \leq 0.902. \quad (9)$$

In conclusion, by use of the postulation on the relation between weak CP phase and the other three mixing angles in CKM matrix, the uncertainty coming from the weak interaction has been reduced, furthermore, based on the relation between the calculation result about mixing parameter ϵ from the box diagrams and the related experimental data in $K^0 - \overline{K}^0$ system, the parameter B_K is extracted.

We take V_{ud} , V_{ub} and V_{tb} as inputs from the Data Book, when all the three input parameters are on the 90% CL, we find $0.444 \leq B_K \leq 1.242$. So, it is consistent with most of the results listed in Table 1, except those obtained by lowest-order chiral perturbation theory and $o(p^4)$ chiral perturbation theory.

When the more narrow ranges for the inputs being scanned, we will get a more narrow permitted window for B_K . Hence, with the more precise measurment on the CKM matrix elements in the future, based on our postulation Eq.(4), we will be able to determine B_K more precisely.

References

- [1] M.Neubert, V.Rieckert, B.Stech and Q.P.Xu, Exclusive Weak Decays of B-Mesons, HD-THEP-91-28
- [2] N.Isgur and M.B.Wise, Phys.Lett.B **237**, 527(1990).
- [3] N.Isgur and M.B.Wise, Phys.Lett.B **232**, 113(1989).
- [4] H.Georgi, Nucl.Phys.B **361**, 339(1991).
- [5] M.Kobayashi and T.Maskawa, Prog.Theor.Phys. **42**, 652(1973).
- [6] N.Cabibbo, Phys.Rev.Lett.**10**, 531(1963).
- [7] L.L.Chau, Phys.Rept. **95**, 1(1983). E.A.Paschos and U.Turke, Phys.Rept. **4**, 145(1989).
- [8] A.J.Buras, M.Jamin and P.H.Weisz, Nucl.Phys.B **347**, 491(1990); S.Herrlich and U.Nierste, Nucl.Phys.B **419**, 292(1994); Phys.Rev.D **52**, 6505(1995).
- [9] J.L.Rosner, Top Quark Mass, hep-ph/9610222, CERN-TH/96-245.
- [10] A.Pich, Preprint CP violation, CERN-TH.7114/93.
- [11] *CP Violation* Ed. C.Jarlskog, World Scientific Publishing Co.Pte.Ltd 1989.
- [12] *CP Violation* Ed. L.Wolfenstein, North-Holland, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. 1989.
- [13] C.Caso et al, The Europ.Phys.J.**C 3** 1(1998). Particle Data Group, Phys.Rev.D. **54**, (1996).
- [14] V.Antonelli, S.Bertolini, M.Fabbrichesesi and E.I.Lashin, Nucl.Phys.B **493**, 281(1997).
- [15] A.Pich and J.Prades, Phys.Lett.B **346**, 342(1995).
- [16] B.D.Gaiser, T.Tsao and M.B.Wise, Ann.Phys.(NY) **132**, 66(1981). A.J.Buras and J.M.Gerard, Nucl.Phys.B **264**, 371(1986).
- [17] J.F.Donoghue, E.Golowich and B.R.Holstein, Phys.Lett.B **119**, 412(1982).
- [18] W.A.Bardeen, A.J.Buras and J.M.Gerard, Phys.Lett.B **211**, 343(1988).
- [19] A.Pich and E.de Rafael, Nucl.Phys.B **358**, 311(1991).

- [20] C.Bruno, Phys.Lett.B **320**, 135(1994).
- [21] J.Bijnens and J.Prades, Phys.Lett.B **342**, 331(1995); Nucl.Phys.B **444**, 523(1995).
- [22] A.Pich and E.de Rafael, Phys.Lett.B **158**, 477(1985).
- [23] J.Prades, C.A.Dominguez, J.A.Penarrocha, A.Pich and E.de Rafael, Z.Phys.C **51**, 287(1991).
- [24] N.Bilic, C.A.Dominguez and B.Guberina, Z.Phys.C **39**, 351(1988).
- [25] R.Decker, Nucl.Phys.B **277**, 661(1986); B Proc.Suppl. **7A**, 180(1989).
- [26] S.Narison, Phys.Lett.B **351**, 369(1995).
- [27] S.R.Sharpe, Plenary Talk at LATTICE 96, hep-lat/9609029, September 1996.
- [28] Yong Liu, Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa Matrix, Unitarity Triangle and Geometry Origin of the Weak CP Phase, hep-ph/9811508. Yong Liu, Does Weak CP Phase Originate from a Certain Geometry ?, hep-ph/9812379. Jing-Ling Chen, Mo-Lin Ge, Xue-Qian Li and Yong Liu, New Viewpoint to the Source of Weak CP Phase, hep-ph/9711330.